

CONFIRMATION PANEL EVALUATION FORM FOR CANDIDATURE DEFENCE PRESENTATION

(This form is to be completed by the Chairperson in response to a candidate undertaking confirmation)

Candidate's Details							
Name:			Matric Number:				
Programme:	Doctoral	Masters	Current semester:				
Mode of	Coursework	Mixed Mode					
Programme:	Clinical	Research					
Mode of Study	Full Time	Part Time					
Title of Thesis:							
Date of							
Presentation:							
Supervisor(s):							

Recommendation By Panel (Please tick (√) in the appropriate box) scale		PANEL 1			PANEL 2				CHAIRPERSON		
		2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
1. Title and Abstract											
2. Introduction											
3. Literature review											
4. Conceptual Framework / Methods / Approach											
5. Discussion and Conclusion											
6. Academic Style, Language and References											
7. Communication / Presentation											

*Please refer Table 1 at appendix B for reference

CONFIDENTIAL (CHAIRPERSON SHEET) Effective 18.10.2021

Recommendation By Panel

(Please tick ($\sqrt{}$) in the appropriate box)

The candidate's Candidature Defence is Excellent Good / Pass Fail
The candidate has failed the Candidature Defence and is required to present again withinweeks.
The candidate's has failed the Candidature Defence and the candidature is changed to the Master's level (for conventional Doctoral candidates only)
The candiate's has failed the Candidature Defence and his/her candidature is terminated (if the candidate has failed the Candidature Defefence for two (2) consecutive times.

Panel Comments (State the main items that the candidate needs to improve upon. State if the candidate needs to refer to specific comments made by the panel members. Please use additional paper if required)

Confirmation by Panel Members

(By signing this form Panel members agree with the outcome)

		Name	Signature
(1)	Chairperson:		
(2)	Panel Member:		
(3)	Panel Member:		
(4)	Panel Member (If more than 2):		
Date	:		

edited-03.07.2023

CONFIDENTIAL (CHAIRPERSON SHEET) Effective 18.10.2021

APPENDIX B

TABLE 1

SCALE	INTERPRETATION	MARKS
1	POOR	0-7
2	UNSATISFACTORY	8-14
3	SATISFACTORY	15-21
4	GOOD	22-28
5	EXCELLENT	29-35